

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,321	C	01/09/2004	Alfred W. Mak	AMAT/8428/MASK/MASK-ETCH/ 7484		
44257	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN		
		ERIDAN, LLP	500			
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 15 HOUSTON, TX 77056		300	ART UNIT PAPER N		PAPER NUMBER	
•				_	1765	•

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			¥
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/754,321	MAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DuyVu n. Deo	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVEIDE A MONT	UVO) OD TUUDTV (OO) DAVO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	anuary 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 19-24 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is/are		ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
 Provided the state of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/04</u> .	6) Other:	,	

Application/Control Number: 10/754,321

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-18, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or (e) as being anticipated by admitted prior art.

The rejection is either 102 (b) or (e) because it is unclear when the prior art described in pages 1-5 of the specification was known and published at the time of the invention was filed.

Admitted prior art describes a method for controlling the critical dimensions of a photomask substrate comprising: providing a photomask substrate with a metal layer on top; performing a photolithographic process on the substrate; measuring pre-etch critical dimensions of the respective elements of the etch photomasks (of the substrate of the previous batch) and adjusting or modifying the etch process (claimed etch recipe or initial etch recipe) for the substrate based on the pre-etch critical dimension data and then performing etch process on the substrate based on the modified etch recipe (paragraphs [0004,0006]).

Referring to claims 10, 15, the step for forming the phase shift angle of a phase shift photomask including etching the substrate, the light-shielding metal layer using a resist and etching the translucent metal layer is also described in paragraph [0004] of the specification.

Art Unit: 1765

Prior art teaches of measuring the phase shift angle and its uniformity across the substrate and determining if the measurement passes the requirement (this would also include marking the photomask out of the specification when the measurement are out of specification, claims 11, 16) (paragraph [0007]).

Referring to claims 12-14, 17, 18, the materials for each type of photomask are known to one skilled in the art as shown in paragraph [0003] of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art.

Referring to claim 2, measuring the post-etch critical dimensions and modifying the initial etch recipe for the next photomask substrate would be obvious to one skilled in the because that would provide a correct etch recipe right away for the next substrate; otherwise, many defect photomask would be formed if the error is not detected or corrected after each substrate is etched.

Referring to claim 3, the performing rework of the photomask substrate when the critical dimension are out of specification would be obvious in order to provide a quality photomask substrate. It is a quality control process that would have to be done with any type of manufacturing of a device.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

Referring to claims 4-9, the materials for each type of photomask are known to one skilled in the art as shown in paragraph [0003] of the specification.

Election/Restrictions

- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, 25 drawn to a method, classified in class 257, subclass 48.
- II. Claims 19-24, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.1.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 6. Inventions in group I and group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as controlling dimensions of a non-photomask substrate.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. During a telephone conversation with Keith Tackett on 12/19/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of method, claims 1-18, 25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-24 are

Application/Control Number: 10/754,321 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a

non-elected invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DuyVu n. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Duy-Vu N. Deo

12/27/05

& D